UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,187	05/21/2007	Woo Heon Song	Q95342	3273
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 09/03/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			BROWE, DAVID	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/596,187	SONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DAVID M. BROWE	1616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 June 2006 is/are: a)	r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date June 2, 2006 and August 30, 2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,187 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6 are pending.

Foreign Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in the Republic of Korea on February 14, 2005. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 10-2005-0012104 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Domestic Benefit

Applicant's claim for the benefit of prior-filed application PCT/KR06/00459, filed February 8, 2006, under 35 U.S.C. 365(a) is acknowledged.

Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to for the following reasons:

- a) the abstract should be limited to a single paragraph within the range of 50-150 words. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "This invention relates to," etc.
- b) Since the invention relates to a method of manufacture, the abstract should include the steps.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,602,522).

Applicant Claims

Applicants claim an oral pharmaceutical formulation comprising: a) an enteric coating, and b) a core containing pantoprazole or its alkaline metal salts. The enteric coating comprises an enteric polymer, such as methacrylic acid copolymer, and polyethylene glycol as a plasticizer. The core, in addition to pantoprazole or its alkaline

Art Unit: 1616

metal salts, further comprises a low-viscosity hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose as a binder, lactose as a filler/diluent, and crospovidone as a disintegrant.

Applicants also claim a method of manufacturing an oral pharmaceutical formulation which includes the steps of: a) forming a core comprising pantoprazole or its alkaline metal salts, b) dissolving or suspending the enteric polymer, such as methacrylic acid copolymer, and polyethylene glycol in a solvent, and c) spraying the enteric suspension on the core. The core may further contain a low-viscosity hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, lactose, and crospovidone.

Determination of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art (MPEP §2141.01)

Chen *et al.* disclose an oral pharmaceutical formulation comprising: a) an enteric coating, and b) a core containing pantoprazole or its alkaline metal salts (Col. 2, Ins. 1-20, 57-64). An intermediate layer is not present between the enteric coating and the core (Col. 1, Ins. 48-50; Col. 2, Ins. 39-43). The enteric coating comprises an enteric polymer, such as methacrylic acid copolymer (Col. 3, Ins. 48-60), and polyethylene glycol as a plasticizer (Col. 4, Ins. 9, 13). The core, in addition to pantoprazole or its alkaline metal salts, further comprises a low-viscosity hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose as a binder (Col. 3, Ins. 19-24), lactose as a filler/diluent (Col. 3, Ins. 34-35), and crospovidone as a disintegrant (Col. 3, Ins. 40-43).

Chen et al. also disclose a method of manufacturing an oral pharmaceutical formulation which includes the steps of: a) forming a core comprising pantoprazole or its alkaline metal salts, b) dissolving or suspending the enteric polymer, such as methacrylic acid copolymer, and polyethylene glycol in a solvent, and c) spraying the

Art Unit: 1616

enteric suspension on the core. The core may further contain a low-viscosity hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, lactose, and crospovidone (Col. 4, Ins. 20-33; Col. 5, Ins. 49-52).

It is noted here for the record that Chebli (U.S. Patent Application Pub. No. 20050266075) also discloses the same oral pharmaceutical formulation comprising: a) an enteric coating, and b) a core containing pantoprazole or its alkaline metal salts, with no intermediate layer between the enteric coating and the core; and a method of manufacturing said oral pharmaceutical formulation.

Ascertainment of the Difference Between the Scope of the Prior Art and the Claims (MPEP §2141.012)

Chen et al. disclose an oral pharmaceutical formulation containing an acid-labile substituted benzamidazole including omeprazole, lansoprazole, pantoprazole, perpaprazole or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof. Examples provided incorporate omeprazole into the core of the formulation. Applicants specifically incorporate pantoprazole in their formulation.

Finding of Prima Facie Obviousness Rational and Motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

It would have been *prima facie* obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to produce an oral pharmaceutical formulation comprising:

a) an enteric coating, and b) a core containing pantoprazole or its alkaline metal salts.

Since Chen *et al.* have disclosed a formulation consisting of an enteric coating and a core, in which an acid-labile substituted benzamidazole, particularly the proton pump

Application/Control Number: 10/596,187 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

inhibitor omeprazole, contained in the core remains stable without the need for an intermediate layer, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to make the same formulation with pantoprazole, another pharmaceutically useful acid-labile substituted benzamidazole in the market as a proton pump inhibitor, with the reasonable expectation of success that the pantoprazole will remain stable with no need for an intermediate layer. Therefore, the claimed invention as a whole would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID M. BROWE whose telephone number is 571-270-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,187 Page 7

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DAVID M. BROWE Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616

/Mina Haghighatian/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616